

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re	Application of:	Group Art Unit: 1635 Examiner: Schnizer, Richard A.					
Vadr	ucci, Sonia et al.						
	No.: 10/719,662	Confirmation No.: 1323					
Filed:	November 21, 2003						
	High-Efficiency Fusogenic Vesicles, Methods oducing Them, and Pharmaceutical oositions Containing Them						
RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL							
Comr P.O. I	Stop Amendment nissioner for Patents Box 1450 ndria, VA 22313-1450						
Sir:		·					
Transmitted herewith is a Response to the March 7, 2006 Office Action in the above-identified application.							
•	DOCUMENTS ENCLOSED:						
	Response to Restriction Requirement						
•	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME:						
	Applicant(s) petitions for an extension of CFR § 1.17(a)(1)-(5)] for the total numb	f time under 37 CFR § 1.136 [fees: 37 er of months checked below:					
		10719662					
		502468					
	CERTIFICATE OF MA						
United	(37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a y certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient to the Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents	attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the nt postage as First Class Mail in an envelope					
		stetle (. Noll					
	Nar	ne of Person Mailing Paper					

60.00 DA

M FC:2251

May 8, 2006
Date of Deposit

		EXTENSION (months)		FEE FOR SMALL ENTITY			.]	FEE FOR OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY				
		\boxtimes	one month		\$60.			\$120.00 \$450.00				
		닏	two months		\$225.0				·-·	20.00		
		님	three months four months		\$510.(\$795.(590.00		
		片	five months		.080.				-	60.00		
		<u> Ш</u>	nve monuis		,000.		F	ee		660.00		
		An extension for months has already been secured and the fee paid therefor of is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.										
	Extension fee due with this Request \$60.00.											
		If an ac	dditional extension.	on of time i	s requ	ired,	, plea	ise co	onsider	this a petitio	n	
FEES FOR CLAIMS:												
		Applic	ant claims small	entity statu	s purs	uant	to 3	7 CF	R 1.27.			
	The fees for claims (37 CFR § 1.16(b)-(d)) have been calculated as shown below:											
	Total	Claims			<u>-</u>	20	=	0	X	\$50.00	\$0.00	
	Indep	endent	Claims		-	3	=	0	x	\$200.00	\$0.00	
	Multi	ple Dep	endent Claims	\$360	(if a	pplic	able)			\$0.00	
								\$0.00				
			½ for Filing by . §§ 1.9, 1.27, 1.2		ty.	_					\$0.00	
	TOTAL FEES FOR CLAIMS SUBMITTED HEREWITH \$0.00							\$0.00				
FEE P □ ⊠		ENTS: ck in the	e amount of Day's Deposit A	_ is enclos	sed to	cove	r the	abov	ve fee(s).		

\boxtimes	The Commissioner is authorized to charge Jones D any fees required under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17, and or in part, by a check enclosed herewith and to cred Account 50-2468.	1.20(d) that are not covered, in whole
		Respectfully submitted,
		JONES DAY
		•

Dated: <u>5/8/2006</u>

By: Rebekka C. Noll

Reg. No. 46,962

555 South Flower Street, Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071

Telephone: (213) 489-3939 Facsimile: (213) 243-2539

MI I TIES LIVE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Vadrucci, Sonia et al.

Serial No.: 10/719,662

Filed: November 21, 2003

For: High-Efficiency Fusogenic Vesicles, Methods

of Producing Them, and Pharmaceutical

Compositions Containing Them

Group Art Unit: 1635

Examiner: Schnizer, Richard A.

Confirmation No.: 1323

RESPONSE

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF CLAIMS

Applicants hereby respond to the Office Action mailed March 7, 2006. In the Office Action, the Examiner has required Applicants to elect a group from Group I (claims 1-16 and 38), Group II (claims 17-35), Group III (claim 37), Group IV (claim 37), Group V (claim 37), Group VI (claim 37), Group VIII (claim 37), Group IX (claim 37), Group IX (claim 37), Group IX (claim 37), Group IX (claim 37), and Group III (claim 37) for examination in this application. Applicants provisionally elect Group I pursuant to the Examiner's request. As explained below, this election is with traverse, as applicants seek reconsideration and modification of the restriction requirement.